Indian J. Applied & Pure Bio. Vol. 40(3), 1827-1838 (2025).

ISSN: 0970-2091
A web of Science Journal

Coal mining and its Dual Impacts: A Review of Socioeconomic Benefits and Environmental Consequences

Saikat Mondal*

Department of Zoology, Raghunathpur College, Raghunathpur, Purulia - 723133 (India)
Email : *sairaniganj@gmail.com

Abstract

This review looks at coal mining's two effects, emphasizing both its major environmental consequences and social advantages. Since the Industrial Revolution, coal has been a vital energy source that powers industry and produces electricity, especially in developing countries. Through taxes and royalties, the coal mining sector boosts local economies and sustains millions of employment. However, there are significant obstacles due to the environmental effects, which include biodiversity loss, water pollution, air quality degradation, and land degradation. In areas with abundant coal resources, where socioeconomic gains do not always result in better living standards, the paradox of growth is clearly seen. In order to reduce the negative environmental effects of coal mining and promote sustainable transitions to alternate energy sources, this analysis highlights the necessity of efficient regulations, technological advancements, and community-centric strategies.

Key words : Coal mining, environmental consequences, land degradation, water pollution, air quality, biodiversity loss, community-centric approaches.

Coal has been a vital energy source since ancient times, but its widespread use began in the 18th century during the Industrial Revolution. This period marked the rise of coal as a driving force behind factories, steam engines, and eventually electricity generation. Today, coal remains a crucial energy resource, especially in developing countries. One of the primary applications of coal is in electricity generation. According to the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), coal accounted for approximately 23% of the total electricity produced in the United States in 2020 [14]. Coal-fired power plants generate energy by combusting coal to produce steam, which then drives turbines. This method of electricity generation is prevalent in countries with significant coal reserves, such as China, India, and the United States. In fact, coal remains the dominant energy source in China, contributing to over 60% of the country's electricity production[68]. Beyond electricity, coal plays a vital role in various industrial processes, with steel manufacturing being one of its most significant uses. Metallurgical coal, also known as coking coal, is converted into coke, a porous carbon material that serves as a reducing agent in iron ore smelting within blast furnaces. The World Steel Association reports that coke produced from metallurgical coal is responsible for generating more than 70% of the steel produced globally[55]. Additionally, coal is utilized in the cement industry, where it serves as a raw material for clinker production and as fuel for kilns. The International Energy Agency estimates that coal accounts for about 30% of the energy needed in cement manufacturing, making it one of the largest consumers of coal[22]. Coal is also an important feedstock for the chemical industry, where it is used to produce a variety of compounds, including methanol, ammonia, and synthetic fuels. Through the process of coal gasification, coal is converted into syngas, a mixture of carbon monoxide and hydrogen that can be utilized to create fuels and chemicals. This method is particularly valuable in countries with limited access to natural gas, as it provides an alternative source of hydrocarbons[32,68]. In many regions, especially in developing countries, coal continues to be used for cooking and home heating. While its use in residential settings has declined in wealthier nations due to environmental concerns and the emergence of cleaner alternatives, it remains a crucial energy source in rural areas with limited electricity access[2,12]. The coal mining industry significantly contributes to the global economy by creating millions of jobs and generating substantial revenue. The World Coal Association estimates that the coal sector directly supports around 7 million jobs worldwide, encompassing roles in mining, transportation, and power generation[35]. Furthermore, coal mining stimulates local economies by providing employment opportunities and generating taxes and royalties that can be invested in infrastructure and public services.

Socioeconomic Benefits of Coal Mining:

Coal mining has historically played a crucial role in shaping the socioeconomic landscape of many regions, particularly where it serves as the primary industry. The benefits of coal mining can be categorized into several key areas, including job creation, economic growth, community development, and government revenue. One of the most significant socioeconomic benefits of coal mining is job creation. Mining operations provide direct employment opportunities for thousands of individuals, especially in areas with limited job prospects. For instance, a study conducted in Queensland, Australia, found that coal mining jobs often come with salaries that are above the regional average, thereby stimulating local economies[65]. Additionally, the industry generates indirect employment in sectors such as transportation, equipment manufacturing, and various services, further bolstering local job markets. Historically, the coal mining industry in Appalachia has contributed to economic stability and job creation. However, recent studies indicate that economic diversification is becoming increasingly necessary as the sector faces challenges such as market fluctuations and environmental regulations[66]. Research highlights that while coal mining has provided economic benefits and job opportunities in Central Appalachia, it has also raised health and environmental concerns, emphasizing the need for a balanced approach to resource management[37]. Despite the numerous socioeconomic advantages associated with coal mining, it is essential to consider the potential drawbacks, particularly regarding environmental impacts and the long-term sustainability of coal as an energy source. The industry's environmental footprint is under increasing scrutiny, especially concerning air quality and climate change. For policymakers and industry leaders, finding a balance between economic benefits and environmental responsibilities is a critical challenge. Coal mining significantly contributes to both regional and national economies through exports and government royalties. The revenue generated from coal exports can be substantial, providing funds for public services and infrastructure development. A comprehensive report on the economic benefits of coal mining suggests that these revenues can foster greater economic diversity and enhance community services[34]. This diversification is particularly vital for regions that might otherwise rely heavily on a single industry. The presence of coal mining companies often leads to improvements in community services, including infrastructure, healthcare, and education. Investments made by mining firms can enhance the quality of life for local residents. Case studies from the Appalachian region of the United States illustrate how coal mining has historically supported local economies by funding community services and initiatives[66]. Governments also benefit significantly from coal mining through taxes and royalties. The reinvestment of these funds into social programs, infrastructure projects, and public services can benefit the community as a whole. The economic impact of coal extraction is particularly pronounced in areas where mining serves as a key economic driver, providing essential revenue for municipal and state governments. In India, coal mining plays a vital role in shaping the socioeconomic landscape as well. It not only creates jobs and builds infrastructure but also enhances energy security and improves access to healthcare and education for local populations[33,38,39].

Environmental consequences of Coal mining :

Land degradation and Habitat loss :

The loss of habitat and land degradation caused by coal mining are pressing environmental issues that significantly affect local communities, ecosystem services, and biodiversity. Large-scale land degradation is particularly pronounced with mining methods such as open-pit mining and mountaintop removal. These practices lead to the removal of vegetation, alteration of landforms, and disruption of soil profiles, all of which contribute to the loss of habitats for numerous species. The consequences of land degradation extend beyond the immediate impact on local flora and fauna, affecting the overall resilience and health of ecosystems. In addition to the visible destruction of land, coal mining also exacerbates habitat loss through air and water pollution. A comprehensive study on the environmental impacts of coal mining indicates that the release of greenhouse gases and particulate matter disrupts local ecosystems and poses risks to human health[16]. Furthermore, mining activities can alter hydrological systems, leading to changes in water availability and quality that further threaten habitats. Recent research has highlighted alarming rates of land degradation associated with coal mining. For instance, a study examining land use changes in the Sohagpur and Bishrampur coal mines in central India from 2001 to 2020 found significant forest degradation, with forest areas decreasing by 5.25 km^2 and 6.02 km^2, respectively. The study noted an increase in mine and overburden dumps, alongside a reduction in water bodies due to the conversion of forest land into agricultural fields. It also reported higher levels of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, and soil organic carbon in Sohagpur compared to Bishrampur, suggesting that sustainable eco-restoration strategies could help mitigate soil loss and land degradation[36]. The expansion of mining operations is closely tied to the loss of forest land, resulting in diminished wildlife habitats. In Paschim Bardhaman, research by Biswas and Ghosh[11] indicates that mining activities, particularly in the Jamuria, Barabani, Raniganj, and Pandabeswar blocks, have led to significant forest cover loss and a reduction in vegetation. The mining area expanded from 25.56 km^2 in 1990 to 70.79 km^2 in 2020, impacting over ten villages and contributing to environmental degradation. The study found that opencast coal mining significantly contributes to forest cover loss and fragmentation, particularly in areas surrounding major mines. Mishra *et al.*[27] quantified forest cover loss in Odisha due to mining activities, analyzing Hansen Global Forest Change data from 2001 to 2019. Districts such as Nabarangpur, Puri, Kendrapara, and Kalahandi experienced over a 20% reduction in forest cover, with Rayagada and Koraput showing the highest losses. The study proposed a cost-effective approach to monitor forest cover loss and protect biodiversity. The decline in forest cover has led to decreased biodiversity, with many species facing habitat fragmentation and increased vulnerability[36,37]. In Santa Catarina, Brazil, located in the southern Atlantic Forest region, coal mining has caused significant ecological damage. Poor restoration efforts in abandoned mining areas have resulted in long-term habitat degradation and challenges in biodiversity regeneration[42]. This situation underscores the importance of effective restoration planning to mitigate the impacts of mining. In Central Appalachia, USA, mountaintop removal mining has led to extensive habitat degradation. Research indicates that this method results in significant biodiversity loss by burying streams, uprooting entire mountain summits, and disrupting local ecosystems[69]. The long-term ecological consequences of such practices necessitate immediate attention and action.

Water pollution and Scarcity :

Water pollution and scarcity linked to coal mining are critical environmental issues that pose significant risks to ecosystems, public health, and local communities. Activities associated with coal mining, particularly open-pit and underground methods, often lead to substantial water contamination and shortages. The extraction process frequently introduces heavy metals, sediments, and toxic chemicals into both surface and groundwater. Additionally, the diversion of water sources for mining exacerbates local water shortages, affecting supplies for drinking and agriculture. The environmental impact of coal mining extends beyond mere water pollution. Alterations to hydrological systems caused by mining can lead to reduced water availability, which in turn affects nearby ecosystems and the communities that depend on them. A comprehensive study of coal-fired power plants in India found that these facilities significantly pollute water resources, compromising the quality of both surface and groundwater[62]. Communities reliant on these water sources for daily needs and farming face increasing challenges due to their depletion. Recent research has highlighted alarming levels of water pollution associated with coal mining. For instance, a study conducted in the Talcher coalfield in India revealed that mining activities severely compromised the quality of groundwater and surface water, with elevated levels of heavy metals such as lead and arsenic[29]. This contamination poses serious health risks to both aquatic life and local populations. Residents in the Talcher area have reported health issues, including gastrointestinal disorders and skin problems, linked to the polluted water sources[29]. The decline in water quality has also adversely affected local agriculture, leading to reduced crop yields. In the Jharia coalfield, severe water scarcity has emerged as a significant issue. A case study indicated that local communities are now forced to rely on distant water sources due to the depletion of groundwater levels caused by coal mining activities[18]. This situation has resulted in a water crisis, particularly during dry seasons. Mountaintop removal mining has led to extensive water contamination in Central Appalachia. Research indicates that this method has harmed aquatic ecosystems by increasing sedimentation and polluting streams with heavy metals[13,69]. The long-term ecological consequences of such practices require urgent attention and action. In Santa Catarina, Brazil, coal mining has significantly degraded water quality. Studies have shown that local rivers have been contaminated by mining runoff, adversely affecting aquatic habitats and drinking water supplies[30]. The region continues to face persistent water quality issues, exacerbated by ineffective restoration efforts.

Air Quality :

The impact of coal mining on air quality and climate change represents a pressing environmental challenge that significantly affects human health and contributes to global warming. Coal mining operations release a variety of pollutants into the atmosphere, including particulate matter (PM), sulfur dioxide (SO_2), nitrogen oxides (NOx), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs). These emissions can lead to deteriorating air quality, which is linked to respiratory diseases and other health complications. For instance, particulate matter (PM2.5) generated from coal dust and combustion is a known contributor to respiratory issues. Additionally, coal mining is responsible for approximately 10% of global anthropogenic methane emissions[5]. Recent studies have highlighted the detrimental effects of coal mining on air quality. In India's Jharia coalfield, research indicates that while vehicle emissions contribute to air pollution, the primary culprits are active mine fires and ongoing mining activities[8,26,44,45,47,59]. Similarly, in Talcher, coal mining has led to a marked decline in air quality, with studies showing increased levels of PM and other pollutants that adversely affect the health of nearby communities[28,29,46]. In Central Appalachia, the practice of mountaintop removal has resulted in severe air quality issues. Research indicates that this method of mining has significantly contributed to air pollution in the region[23]. In Santa Catarina, Brazil, coal mining has similarly degraded air quality, with studies revealing that emissions from mining operations lead to smog formation and respiratory ailments in surrounding communities[1].

Biodiversity Loss :

Coal mining poses significant threats to ecosystems, wildlife habitats, and overall environmental health, making it a key factor in the global decline of biodiversity. The extraction and processing of coal lead to pollution, habitat destruction, and climate change, all of which contribute to the decline of numerous species. One of the most visible impacts of coal mining is habitat destruction. Techniques such as open-pit mining and mountaintop removal result in the complete removal of soil and vegetation, disrupting local ecosystems. This not only destroys habitats for many species but also fragments the remaining habitats, making survival increasingly difficult for wildlife.

During coal mining operations, pollutants are released into the air, water, and soil. Heavy metals like arsenic and mercury can leach into water bodies, adversely affecting aquatic life and the animals that depend on these ecosystems. Additionally, air pollution from coal dust and emissions can harm terrestrial species. The mining and combustion of coal are significant contributors to greenhouse gas emissions, which drive climate change. Altered climate conditions can affect breeding patterns, increase disease susceptibility, and shift species distributions. Furthermore, mining activities often divert water supplies, reducing the availability of water for local flora and fauna. This scarcity can exacerbate the stress on already vulnerable ecosystems and species.

Case studies from Brazil, the United States, and India illustrate the profound impacts of mining on biodiversity and ecosystems. Research by Sonter *et al.*[54] indicates that coal mining significantly diminishes biodiversity, particularly in regions with endemic species. The study highlighted that the effects of coal mining extend beyond the immediate extraction sites, influencing larger ecological networks. In Jharkhand, India, the Jharia coalfield, one of the country's oldest and most significant coal mining regions, has experienced severe habitat degradation due to mining activities. A study found that local wildlife and plant life have suffered greatly, with many species facing the threat of extinction due to pollution and habitat loss[41,58]. The decline of these ecosystems has also adversely affected local communities that rely on these natural resources for their livelihoods. In the Talcher coalfield, mining operations have led to significant biodiversity loss, particularly in adjacent marshes and forests. Research indicates that various species, including birds and amphibians, have declined due to the degradation of these habitats[29]. This situation underscores the urgent need for conservation efforts to protect the remaining biodiversity in the region. In Central Appalachia, mountaintop removal mining has drastically reduced biodiversity. This method involves blasting off mountain tops to access coal seams, resulting in the destruction of entire ecosystems. A study found that this practice has led to the loss of numerous species, including many that are endemic to the region[63]. The long-term ecological consequences of such actions require immediate attention and intervention. In the Atlantic Forest region of Santa Catarina, coal mining has caused significant habitat destruction and biodiversity loss. Research indicates that mining activities have led to declines in various species, including those that are threatened or endangered[42,43]. Ineffective restoration efforts have resulted in lasting ecological damage, highlighting the need for improved management practices in mining operations.

The Development Paradox :

The complex relationship between resource wealth and social challenges is underscored by the development paradox found in coal mining regions. For instance, despite the historical wealth generated by coal in Appalachia, USA, the area continues to experience high poverty rates, illustrating the "resource curse"—where communities struggle to benefit from their natural resources[36]. Similarly, in Jharkhand, India, severe malnutrition and water shortages exist alongside abundant coal reserves, raising critical questions about resource management and governance[50].

In Queensland, Australia, the conflict between the need to conserve the Great Barrier Reef and the financial gains from mining further highlights the environmental repercussions of coal extraction, presenting a significant challenge to sustainable development[17,25]. These examples illustrate that having coal wealth does not guarantee improved living standards, emphasizing the necessity for effective policies and fair distribution of resources to tackle the development paradox.

Sustainable transitions and mitigation Approaches :

Technological Upgradation :

Clean coal technologies (CCT) aim to harness the economic advantages of coal while minimizing its environmental impact. Two key advancements in this field are methane recovery and carbon capture and storage (CCS). CCS involves capturing carbon dioxide emissions produced from burning fossil fuels for energy and securely storing them underground to prevent their release into the atmosphere. The International Energy Agency (IEA) estimates that CCS could potentially capture up to 2.5 billion tons of CO_2 each year by 2030, which would make a significant dent in global carbon emissions[22]. Methane recovery focuses on capturing methane, a potent greenhouse gas released during coal mining operations. Technologies designed for methane capture and utilization can lead to substantial reductions in emissions. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), capturing methane from coal mines could cut greenhouse gas emissions by as much as 50% [16]. Additionally, the adoption of automated mining technologies is on the rise, enhancing both the efficiency and safety of coal mining operations. Automation not only boosts productivity but also reduces workers' exposure to hazardous conditions, such as dust and toxic gases. Companies like Rio Tinto and BHP are investing in autonomous trucks and drilling equipment to optimize resource extraction and improve safety standards[24,40,48,64].

Legislation and Policy :

To mitigate the environmental impacts associated with coal mining, it is essential for governments to implement effective regulations. Stricter environmental impact assessments (EIAs) are crucial to ensure that mining activities do not harm surrounding ecosystems or local communities. These assessments should provide comprehensive evaluations of potential adverse effects on both the environment and society, along with strategies for reclamation and mitigation. Reclamation regulations require mining companies to restore land after mining operations cease, allowing the land to be repurposed for agriculture, recreation, or other uses. In the United States, the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act enforces this requirement, mandating that coal mining companies return the land to its original condition or better. A notable example of proactive policy is Germany's commitment to phasing out coal by 2038 as part of its "Energiewende," or energy transition initiative. The German government has allocated $45 billion to support this transition, which includes retraining programs for workers affected by the coal phase-out. This approach not only addresses environmental concerns but also ensures that displaced workers have access to new job opportunities in the renewable energy sector[9,57].

Community-Based Solutions :

Regions that have traditionally depended on coal mining need to explore ways to diversify their economies to reduce reliance on this sector. Investing in renewable energy initiatives, such as solar and wind farms, can stimulate local economies and create new employment opportunities. For example, in the Appalachian region of the United States, there is a strong focus on developing solar energy projects aimed at providing alternative job options for former coal miners[7]. Moreover, community-focused approaches highlight the importance of inclusive governance in the decision-making processes related to coal mining and economic transitions. Engaging local communities in discussions about economic diversification and resource management ensures that their voices are heard and that new initiatives are designed to benefit them equitably. This could involve setting up community advisory boards or holding public consultations to gather feedback on development projects.

The complex interplay between the socioeconomic benefits and environmental consequences of coal mining necessitates careful examination and balanced policy development. Historically, coal mining has been instrumental in driving community growth, economic development, and job creation. It generates substantial revenue for local and national economies through taxes and royalties, supporting millions of jobs globally. This industry has also contributed to industrial advancement and energy stability, particularly in developing nations where coal remains a primary energy source. However, despite its economic advantages, coal mining is associated with significant environmental challenges, including habitat destruction, air quality deterioration, water contamination, and land degradation. These issues pose serious threats to ecosystem sustainability, public health, and biodiversity. To tackle these environmental challenges effectively, robust management strategies are essential, as highlighted by various regional case studies. As the global energy landscape evolves, it is crucial to implement policies that facilitate sustainable transitions. This includes investing in clean technologies, enforcing stricter environmental regulations, and promoting community-driven approaches that ensure equitable resource distribution. Addressing the dual challenges posed by coal mining requires a commitment to both environmental protection and economic growth, ensuring that marginalized communities are not overlooked in the shift toward more sustainable energy solutions. Ultimately, the future of coal mining must be navigated with a focus on equity, sustainability, and the well-being of both people and the planet.

References :

1. Akinyemi SA, MLS Oliveira, BB Nyakuma and GL Dotto (2022). *Sustainability 14*(7): 3847. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14073847
2. Akpalu W, I Dasmani, and PB Aglobitse (2011). *Energy Policy 39*(10): 6525-6531.
3. Amboni M, J Campos, M Zanuz, and C Gomes (2010). *IMWA* 413-416.
4. Amudala P, and S Chakraborty (2019). *Groundwater for Sustainable Development 9:* 100244.
5. Aneja VP, A Isherwood, and P Morgan (2012). *Atmospheric Environment 54:* 496-501.
6. Aneja V, P Pillai, A Isherwood, P Morgan, and S Aneja (2016). *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association 67:* 10.1080/10962247.2016.1245686.
7. Appalachian Regional Commission (2023) Performance and accountability report. https://www.arc.gov/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/FY-2023-Performance-and-Accountability-Report.pdf
8. Baruah M, and G Singh (2022) *Environmental Science and Pollution Research 29:* https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-17918-0
9. BBC (2020) https://www.bbc.com/news/world-europe-51133534
10. Betz MR, MD Partridge, M Farren, and L Lobao (2015). *Energy Economics 50:* 105-116.
11. Biswas A, and S Ghosh (2024). *Society and Environment 36:* 101348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2024.101348
12. Chanchani D, and P Oskarsson (2021). *Energy Research & Social Science 80:* 102181.
13. Darling A, H Patton, M Rasheduzzaman, R Guevara, J McCray, L-A Krometis, and A Cohen (2023). *Science of The Total Environment 892:* 164036.
14. EIA (2021) https://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.php?id=51638.
15. EPA(2025)https://www.epa.gov/cmop/opportunities-globally-address-coal-mine-methane-emissions.
16. Gopinathan P, T Subramani, and S Barbosa, *et al.* (2023). *Environmental Geochemistry and Health 45:* 6915–6922. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-023-01744-z
17. Grech A, RL Pressey, and JC Day (2015). Coal, cumulative impacts, and the Great Barrier Reef. *Conservation Letters.*
18. Hasii O, and G Gasii (2024). *Earth and Environmental Science 1348:* 012017.
19. Hendryx M, and B Holland (2016). *Environmental Science & Policy 63:* 1-6
20. Holzman, D. C. (2011). *Environmental Health Perspectives 119*(11): A476–A483. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.119-a476
21. International Energy Agency (2021) Coal 2021. https://www.iea.org/reports/coal-2021.
22. International Energy Agency (IEA) (2023) Net zero roadmap: A global pathway to keep the 1.5 °C goal in reach - 2023 update. https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-roadmap-a-global-pathway-to-keep-the-1-5-c-goal-in-reach-2023-update

23. Knuckles TL, PA Stapleton, VC Minarchick, L Esch, M McCawley, M Hendryx, and TR Nurkiewicz (2013). *Microcirculation 20*(2): 158–169. https://doi.org/10.1111/micc.12014
24. Kokkinis A, T Frantzis, K Skordis, G Nikolakopoulos, and P Koustoumpardis (2024). *Machines 12*(12): 845. https://doi.org/10.3390/machines12120845
25. Konkes C, C Nixon, L Lester, and K Williams (2021). *Queensland Review 28*(2): 132–146. https://doi.org/10.1017/qre.2022.10
26. Kumar A, and PK Singh (2016). *Current World Environment 11*(1): 301-311.
27. Mishra M, Santos CAG, do Nascimento TVM, Dash MK, da Silva RM, Kar D, Acharyya T (2022). *Journal of Environmental Management 302*(Part B): 114067.
28. Mishra N, and N Das (2017). *Air, Soil and Water Research 10.* https://doi.org/10.1177/1178622117728913
29. Mishra N, and N Das (2020). *Air, Soil and Water Research 10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/1178622117728913
30. Moschini-Carlos V, Pompêo MLM, Lobo FDL, Meirelles ST (2011). Impact of coal mining on water quality of three artificial lakes in Morozini River Basin (Treviso, Santa Catarina State, Brazil). Acta Limnologica Brasiliensia 23(3): 271-281.
31. Muhammed NS, AO Gbadamosi, EI Epelle, AA Abdulrasheed, B Haq, S Patil, D Al-Shehri, and MS Kamal (2023). *Journal of Energy Storage 73*(Part D): 109207.
32. Nasiru M, N Aprianti, M Said, and S Nasir (2023). *Journal of Ecological Engineering 24*(9): 1–9.
33. National Foundation for India (NFI) (2021) Socio-economic impacts of coal transitions in India: Bottom-up analysis of jobs in coal and coal-consuming industries. http://nfi.org.in/sites/nfi/files/publication/cti.pdf.
34. National Mining Association (2021) https://nma.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/Economic-Contributions-of-Mining-in-2021.pdf.
35. Pai S, H Zerriffi, J Jewell, and J. Pathak (2020) *Environmental Research Letters 15*(3): 034065.
36. Partridge MD, MR Betz, and L Lobao (2013). *American Journal of Agricultural Economics 95*(2): 449–456. http://www.jstor.org/stable/23358416
37. Poudyal NC, BR Gyawali, B Pokharel, AR Khanal, and K Paudel (2024). *Society & Natural Resources 38*(5): 414–438. https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2024.2443913
38. Press Information Bureau (PIB), Government of India (2024). Development of new coal projects. https://www.pib.gov.in/Press ReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2077845
39. Press Information Bureau (PIB), Government of India (2025). Coal Sector in India: A Strategic Engine for Sustainable Growth and Global Leadership. https://www.nextias.com/ca/current-affairs/27-05-2025/coal-sector-india-sustainable-growth-global-leadership
40 PwC (2023). Transforming India's mining landscape with autonomous technology. https://www.pwc.in/assets/pdfs/transforming-indias-mining-landscape-with-autonomous-technology.pdf

41. Ranjan R (2019). *Resources Policy 60:* 23-35.
42. Rocha-Nicoleite E, GE Overbeck, and SC Müller (2017). *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation 15*(3): 202-205.
43. Rosa MR, PHS Brancalion, R Crouzeilles, LR Tambosi, PR Piffer, FEB Lenti, M Hirota, E Santiami, and JP Metzger (2021) *Science Advances 7*(4): eabc4547. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abc4547.
44. Roy D, and G Singh (2014). *Journal of Engineering Research and Applications 4*(4): 97-113.
45. Roy D, G Singh, and Y-C Seo (2019). *Atmospheric Pollution Research 10*(6): 1964-1975.
46. Roy P, G Singh, and A Pal (2010). *Journal of American Science 6:*
47. Saini V, R Gupta, and M Arora (2015). Environmental issues of coal mining: A case study of Jharia coal-field, India. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.4363.8805.
48. Sardjono W, M Maryani, J Sudrajat, and E Lusia (2023). *ICCD 5*(1): 528-537.
49. Schlickmann M, B Dreyer, R Spiazzi, S Vieira, B Nascimento, R Nicoleite, MR Kanieski, E Duarte, Rodrigues, C Schneider, and A de Aguiar (2018) *Journal of Agricultural Science 10:* 426-426.
50. Shinde V, SB Nandgude, and M Singh (2013). *Nature Environment and Pollution Technology 12*(2): 215-224.
51. Shivani R, and S Kumar (2022). Air pollution modelling for Jharia region, India.
52. Silva L, M Oliveira, K Martinello, and R Finkelman (2008). *Environmental Geochemistry and Health 31:* 475-485.
53. Silva L, M Wollenschlager, and M Oliveira (2011). *Environmental Geochemistry and Health 33:* 55-65.
54. Sonter LJ, SH Ali, and JEM Watson (2018). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B 285:* 20181926.
55. Steel Watch (2023). Sunsetting coal in steel production. https://steelwatch.org/
56. Thakur TK, J Dutta, P Upadhyay, DK Patel, A Thakur, M Kumar, and A Kumar (2022). *Ecological Engineering 175:* 106493.
57. Thakur TK, SL Swamy, J Dutta, A Thakur, A Mishra, P Sarangi, D Kumar, B Almutairi, and R Kumar (2024). *Frontiers in Environmental Science 12:* https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2024.1419041.
58. Tiwary M, and JN Singh (2021). *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology. 7*(12): 79-92.
59. Tiwary RK, and SK Sinha (2006). *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection 26*(10): 905-910.
60. U.S. Energy Information Administration (2021). Coal. https://www.eia.gov/coal/
61. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2025). https://www.epa.gov/system/files/other-files/2025-04/non-co2-report-data-annex-gloabl-domestic-2025.zip
62. Vig N, K Ravindra, and S Mor (2023). *Chemosphere 341:* 140103.
63. Voss, KA, and ES Bernhardt (2017). *Limnology and Oceanography 62*(4): 1754–1770.
64. Watts, J (2025) How coal mines are integrating sustainable development goals. World Coal. https://www.worldcoal.com/mining/13022025/how-coal-mines-are-integrating-sustainable-development-goals/
65. Williams G, and R Nikijuluw (2020). *Agricultural and Resource Economics*

64(4): 1113-1132.

66. World Bank (2023). Socioeconomic transition in the Appalachia coal region. https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documentsreports/documentdetail/531201635134585522/socioeconomic-transition-in-the-appalachia-coal-region-some-factors-of-success.
67. World Economic Forum (2020). Coal, lignite, Germany, renewables, energy. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2020/01/coal-lignite-germany-renewables-energy/
68. Zhang B, Q Wang, S Wang, and R Tong (2023). *Energy 282:* 128830.
69. Zipper CE, and J Skousen (2021). *The Extractive Industries and Society 8*(4): 100990.